LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

301 State House (317) 232-9855

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 8089 DATE PREPARED: Jan 16, 2001

BILL NUMBER: SB 442 BILL AMENDED:

SUBJECT: Financial Responsibility for Motor Vehicles.

FISCAL ANALYST: James Sperlik PHONE NUMBER: 232-9866

FUNDS AFFECTED: X GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

 $\overline{\underline{X}}$ DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> This bill requires the driver of a motor vehicle to furnish proof of financial responsibility to a police officer when cited for a moving traffic offense. It provides that the second and subsequent failure to provide proof of financial responsibility to a police officer when cited for a moving traffic offense is a Class D infraction. The bill requires the Bureau of Motor Vehicles (BMV) to adopt rules providing for the notification of a driver's employer of a driver's failure to furnish proof of financial responsibility upon request to a police officer. It makes conforming amendments.

Effective Date: January 1, 2002.

Explanation of State Expenditures: For the BMV, there likely will be expenditures associated with providing for the notification of a driver's employer of the driver's failure to furnish proof of financial responsibility. In CY 1999, there were approximately 47,000 driver's licenses suspended for failure to furnish proof of financial responsibility. If the BMV were required to notify the employers of 47,000 drivers, mailing expenditures would increase by approximately \$16,000. There also likely would be increased administrative expenditures associated with determining and locating the name and address of the employers of the drivers. This expenditure is not determinable, but likely would include computer expenditures. The fund affected is the Motor Vehicle Highway Account which supports the BMV.

For the State Police, the Department of Natural Resources (Conservation Officers), and the Alcoholic Beverage Commission (Excise Officers), there may be additional expenditures associated with the printing of new uniform traffic tickets to include the newly required information, unless the current tickets can be modified to capture the required information. The funds affected for the State Police are: 1) State General Fund; 2) Motor Vehicle Highway Account; and 3) Motor Carrier Regulation Fund. For the Conservation Officers the funds affected are: 1) State General Fund; and the 2) Fish and Wildlife Fund. The fund affected for the Excise Officers is the Administrative Enforcement Fund.

SB 442+ 1

Explanation of State Revenues: *Penalty Provision:* If additional court cases occur, revenue to the state General Fund may increase if infraction judgments and court fees are collected. The maximum judgment for a Class D infraction is \$25 which is deposited in the State General Fund. If court actions are filed and a judgment is entered, a court fee of \$70 would be assessed. 70% of the court fee would be deposited in the State General Fund if the case is filed in a court of record or 55% if the case is filed in a city or town court.

If a criminal action, infraction or ordinance violation involves a traffic violation, including this proposed offense, a highway work zone fee of either 50 cents or \$25.50 is assessed.

<u>Explanation of Local Expenditures:</u> For local law enforcement agencies, there may be additional expenditures associated with the printing of new uniform traffic tickets to include the newly required information, unless the current tickets can be modified to capture the required information.

Explanation of Local Revenues: Penalty Provision: If additional court actions are filed and a judgment is entered, local governments would receive revenue from the following sources: (1) The county general fund would receive 27% of the \$70 court fee that is assessed in a court of record. Cities and towns maintaining a law enforcement agency that prosecutes at least 50% of its ordinance violations in a court of record may receive 3% of court fees. If the case is filed in a city or town court, 20% of the court fee would be deposited in the county general fund and 25% would be deposited in the city or town general fund. (2) A \$3 fee would be assessed and, if collected, would be deposited into the county law enforcement continuing education fund. (3) A \$2 jury fee is assessed and, if collected, would be deposited into the county user fee fund to supplement the compensation of jury members.

<u>State Agencies Affected:</u> Bureau of Motor Vehicles; State Police; Conservation Officers Alcoholic Beverage Commission (Excise Officers).

Local Agencies Affected: Trial courts, local law enforcement agencies.

Information Sources: BMV data.

SB 442+ 2